



FULTON COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Winner 2000- 2005 Achievement of Excellence in
Procurement Award
National Purchasing Institute



Jerome Noble, Director

March 28, 2006

RE: #06RFP4930K-RS, Engineering Consultant Services For (T224) Bell Road Over Cauley Creek Bridge Replacement and (T225) Parsons Road Over Johns Creek Bridge Replacement.

Dear Proposers:

Attached is one (1) copy of Addendum 1, hereby made a part of the above referenced Request For Proposal (RFP).

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions in the RFP referenced above remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Sincerely,

Rholanda M. Stanberry

Rholanda M. Stanberry
Chief Assistant Purchasing Agent

06RFP4930K-RS, Engineering Consultant Services For (T224) Bell Road Over Cauley Creek Bridge Replacement and (T225) Parsons Road Over Johns Creek Bridge Replacement.

March 28, 2006

Page 2

Addendum #1

1. Will FEMA be the reviewing agency for the bridge hydraulics/No-Rise Certification? Or the County? The question relates to how long should the schedule allow for the review of the hydraulic report?

If the proposed drainage structure complies with a No-Rise Certificate, i.e. no impact on the flood plain elevation, floodway elevation, and floodway widths in all published and non published hydraulic cross sections within the vicinity of the proposed project, then FEMA review is not required.

2. Has FEMA prepared stream studies that cover the streams in the areas of the two bridges? If so, when were they published? The question about when the studies were done relates to the amount of development in the basins that has been occurring.

Yes, the streams impacted studies were revised 1998. It is the responsibility of the consultant to request from FEMA the latest studies in the project areas.

3. What models (HEC-RAS, HEC-2) exist for the streams in question for this project and are they to be used as the base models for this project? If they are in HEC-2 format, do they need to be converted to HEC-RAS format for this project? Will proposed conditions models need to be developed for the flood profiles and for the floodway?

The consultant is responsible to utilize the most current models available for the project area.

4. Will the consultant obtain the FEMA floodplain information or will it be provided by the County?

The consultant will be required to obtain FEMA floodplain information.

5. Will scour calculations be required?

Scour calculations are considered as technical information; therefore, the consultant is responsible to provide/include it as a part of the package to the County and FEMA.

06RFP4930K-RS, Engineering Consultant Services For (T224) Bell Road Over Cauley Creek Bridge Replacement and (T225) Parsons Road Over Johns Creek Bridge Replacement.

March 28, 2006

Page 3

Addendum #1

6. Will the County allow the roads to be closed at the bridge sites during construction or will detours be required? If detours are required, does the County want on-site detours or a detour plan that uses other nearby roads?

Detour plans will be required.

7. The requirement for a Payment Bond on professional services is most unusual and most engineering firms do not maintain a relationship with a surety -- will the County delete this requirement?

No

8. Will the Public Information Meetings be held for both projects together or will there be separate public meetings for each project?

Public Meetings will be held for both projects.

9. The specifications call for the drawings to be developed in Microstation and CAiCE software and elsewhere the specifications say that the drawings must be delivered in a format that allows for input into the County's GIS. What format is allowable for delivery for uploading to the GIS?

Drawings are required to be developed in Micro station and CAiCE software.

10. 4.1.h – “Cost Proposal and Schedule of Billing Rates (Provide original and two copies in a separate sealed envelope...)” Section 3.1 (top of page 21) calls for 1 original and 5 copies to be submitted. Which is correct?

Section 4.1h refers to cost proposal; Section 3.1 refers to technical proposal, disregard Cost Proposal.

06RFP4930K-RS, Engineering Consultant Services For (T224) Bell Road Over Cauley Creek Bridge Replacement and (T225) Parsons Road Over Johns Creek Bridge Replacement.

March 28, 2006

Page 4

Addendum #1

11. 4.1.i.6 – Financial Responsibility: “Provide two copies of information in separate sealed envelope *with price proposal*. Financial statements are not to be submitted in same envelope as the cost proposal forms.” Is "cost proposal" and "price proposal" the same thing? Should we provide 2 copies of the financial responsibility documents *per* cost proposal, for a total of 10 financial responsibility documents copies in 5 separate envelopes? Or should we only provide a total of 2 copies of financial responsibility documents in a single envelope? Or..? Please clarify.

2 copies of financial responsibility documents should be submitted in a single envelope. Cost proposal and Price proposal is the same thing and should be submitted in a separate envelope.

12. Section 2.4, page 9 – “Each Proposer is required to acknowledge by submitting an executed acknowledgment form included as Technical Proposal Form 2” .Q; Is there such a form included in the RFP documents? Is it the same as the “Procurement Affidavit Form 2” referred to in section 5.1? Is there a "Technical Proposal Form 1"?

The Acknowledgement form is attached at the end of each addendum and should be included along with your technical proposal.

13. Section XI, Appendix D – Key Personnel Listing; Is the table on page 93 required to be submitted as part of the Technical Proposal?

Yes

14. 4.1.e – Project Approach: “Demonstrate and provide a description of how you will perform tasks to meet or exceed the ‘calendar day schedule’ to be prepared by the Consultant in Section X.”; Section X is blank - is this correct? If so, is there a preferred method of addressing this referenced clause?

Submit your exhibits in this section in accordance with your proposal, e.g. project approach, schedule, etc.

**06RFP4930K-RS, Engineering Consultant Services For (T224) Bell Road Over
Cauley Creek Bridge Replacement and (T225) Parsons Road Over Johns Creek
Bridge Replacement**

Addendum #1

March 28, 2006

Page 5

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ADDENDUM NO. 1

The undersigned proposer acknowledges receipt of this addendum by returning one (1) copy of this form with the proposal package to the Purchasing Department, Fulton County Public Safety Building, 130 Peachtree Street, Suite 1168, Atlanta, Georgia 30335 by the RFP due date and time **Monday, April 3, 2006 no later than 11:00 A.M.**

This is to acknowledge receipt of Addendum No. 1, ____day of _____, 2006.

Legal Name of Proposer

Signature of Authorized Representative

Title